



ENQUIRIES: SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT UNIT
TEL NO. : + 27 (0)11 830 2200
FAX NO. : + 27 (0)11 830 2201
EMAIL : procurement@mgsi.co.za
WEBSITE : www.mgsi.co.za

40 Hull Street, Cnr. 8th Street and Hull Streets
Vrededorp, Johannesburg, 2092
Postnet Suite No. 161,
Private Bag X9
Melville, 2109

INVITATION FOR PROPOSALS

BID NO: MGSLG/2026/SEC58B-SSP01

CLOSING DATE: FRIDAY,07 AUGUST 2026,11H00.

TENDER NAME:

SECTION 58B SCHOOL SUPPORT PROGRAMME

MATTHEW GONIWE SCHOOL OF LEADERSHIP & GOVERNANCE (MGSLG)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. PURPOSE	5
3. OBJECTIVE	6
4. SCOPE OF SERVICES.....	6
5. METHODOLOGY	6
6. OUTPUTS AND DELIVERABLES	7
7. EVALUATION PROCESS.....	9
8. STAGE 3: PRICE/FINANCIAL EVALUATION	13
9.B-BBEE POINTS	13
10. COMMUNICATION.....	14
11. PROHIBITION OF RESTRICTIVE PRACTICES	15
12.FRONTING	15
13. COMPULSORY BRIEFING.....	15
14.PRESENTATION.....	16
15.TIMEFRAMES AND FORMAL CONTRACT	16
16.PACKAGING OF BID.....	16
17.FUNCTIONALITY/TECHNICAL PROPOSAL.....	16
18.PRICE/FINANCIAL PROPOSAL	16
19.CONTACT DETAILS	16
20. INVITATION TO BID	18
21.TAX CLEARANCE CERTIFICATE REQUIREMENTS	21
22. PRICING SCHEDULE – FIRM PRICES	22
23. DECLARATION OF INTEREST	23
24. PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2011, AS PREVAILING AT THE TIME OF THE BID	26
25. DECLARATION CERTIFICATE FOR LOCAL PRODUCTION AND CONTENT FOR DESIGNATED SECTORS	32
26. CONTRACT FORM - RENDERING OF SERVICES	37
27. DECLARATION OF BIDDER'S PAST SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	39
28. CERTIFICATE OF INDEPENDENT BID DETERMINATION	41
29. CERTIFICATE OF INDEPENDENT BID DETERMINATION.....	42
30.ANNEXURE A TENDER REFERENCE REQUEST FORM	44

1. INTRODUCTION AND PROBLEM STATEMENT

Introduction

Matthew Goniwe School of Leadership and Governance (MGSLG) is a provincial teacher development, governance and leadership institute of the Gauteng Department of Education (GDE). In line with the Gauteng Department of Education's commitment to improving learner outcomes, a set of schools has been identified in terms of Section 58B of the South African Schools Act, which provides for intervention in underperforming schools. These schools are currently not meeting the performance targets set by the Gauteng Department of Education and require focused, time-bound support to improve functionality and learner achievement.

MGSLG's developmental plans include rural and informal settlements in which a special mobile solution is mediated to teachers for use in improving lesson delivery. The institution currently utilizes onsite support and training as a means of providing just in time support and training to educators using a differentiated approach to cater for individual teacher needs. As such the institution utilizes a 10:20:70 framework, where 10% of the training is theoretical, 20% being practical engagement and 70 % is work integrated learning online and offline.

The targeted cohort includes:

- 50 schools include both primary and secondary schools

MGSLG therefore intends to appoint a **qualified and experienced service provider** to implement a **12-month intensive school improvement programme** aimed at capacitating school leadership teams, strengthening teacher practice, and improving learner performance.

The appointed service provider will be expected to deliver a **targeted, high-impact programme** that combines leadership development, teacher support, and classroom-based interventions, supported by robust monitoring and evaluation mechanisms. The overarching goal of this assignment is to **drive measurable improvements in school effectiveness and learner performance within 12 months**, while building sustainable capacity within schools to maintain gains beyond the intervention.

Problem Statement

Despite sustained system-wide reforms and investments in education, a cohort of schools within the Gauteng Province continues to demonstrate **persistent underperformance against provincial benchmarks**, particularly in key learning areas and overall school functionality.

The Gauteng Department of Education (GDE), through its performance monitoring systems, has identified a group of **50 Section 58B schools** that are not achieving the required standards for:

- Curriculum coverage
- Learner achievement
- Promotion and progression rates
- School management and instructional leadership effectiveness

These schools have consistently performed **below departmental targets**, with observable challenges including:

- **Low learner achievement levels**, particularly in foundational literacy and numeracy (GET) and gateway subjects such as Mathematics, Science, Accounting and Economics (FET)

- **Inconsistent curriculum coverage**, resulting in incomplete syllabus delivery
- **Weak instructional leadership**, limiting the effective monitoring of teaching and learning
- **Limited teacher support mechanisms**, including underutilised or ineffective Professional Learning Communities (PLCs)
- **Inadequate use of assessment data** to inform teaching and remediation strategies

In the FET phase, underperformance is reflected in:

- Lower-than-target **Grade 12 pass rates and bachelor-level passes**
- Weak subject performance averages in priority subjects
- Poor learner retention and throughput from Grades 10–12

In the GET phase, systemic issues include:

- Learners not achieving expected literacy and numeracy benchmarks set by the Department of Basic Education (DBE) competency levels through the Curriculum and Assessment Policy Statement (CAPS), and monitors performance using national and international assessments.
- Gaps in foundational knowledge that affect progression into higher grades

Across both phases, there is evidence of:

- **Inefficient use of instructional time**
- **Limited structured support for teacher improvement**
- **Insufficient alignment between leadership practices and classroom instruction**

Given the urgency of improving learner outcomes and stabilising school performance, there is a need for a **high-intensity, short-cycle intervention** that delivers rapid, measurable gains.

Research and system experience indicate that **focused, time-bound interventions—when anchored in instructional leadership and teacher practice—can produce accelerated improvement**, particularly when they:

- Target classroom-level change
- Strengthen leadership accountability
- Use real-time data for decision-making
- Provide ongoing coaching and support

The 12-month intervention is therefore designed to:

- **Stabilise instructional practices** in targeted schools
- Address immediate gaps in curriculum delivery and assessment
- Strengthen leadership capacity to drive teaching and learning
- Deliver **quick wins in learner performance indicators**
- Establish systems and practices for sustained improvement

2. PURPOSE

The purpose of these Terms of Reference (ToR) is to appoint a **qualified and experienced service provider** to design and implement a **targeted 12-month instructional leadership and school improvement intervention** for identified underperforming Section 58B schools in Gauteng.

This intervention aims to **address the persistent performance gaps** identified by the Gauteng Department of Education (GDE) by strengthening the core drivers of school effectiveness—namely, **instructional leadership, teacher practice, and curriculum delivery**.

Specifically, the ToR seeks to procure services that will:

- **Enhance instructional leadership capacity** at the school level, with a focus on enabling principals and School Management Teams (SMTs) to lead teaching and learning effectively
- **Improve the quality of classroom instruction** through structured, practice-based teacher development, coaching, and ongoing support
- **Accelerate curriculum coverage and assessment practices**, ensuring alignment with GDE requirements and standards
- **Improve learner performance outcomes** in both GET and FET phases, particularly in foundational and high-impact subjects
- **Establish effective school-based systems**, including Professional Learning Communities (PLCs), data use practices, and monitoring mechanisms to ensure in-school-based professional development using own data to initiate own school improvement and development

The intervention must be grounded in **evidence-based leadership practices**, particularly those articulated in the **instructional leadership framework** and school effectiveness/improvement, and must explicitly demonstrate the causal pathway between:

Leadership actions → Improved teaching practice → Enhanced learner outcomes

In response to the urgency highlighted in the problem statement, the programme is designed as a **high-intensity, short-cycle intervention** that delivers:

- **Rapid, measurable improvements in teaching and learning**
- Strengthened **accountability and instructional focus within target schools**
- Practical tools and systems that can be sustained beyond the twelve months

Furthermore, the purpose of this ToR is to ensure that the appointed service provider delivers a programme that is:

- **Targeted** (focused on identified school needs based on the administered needs analysis survey)
- **Structured** (guided by a clear logic model and implementation plan)
- **Data-driven** (using real-time monitoring and evaluation)
- **Impact-oriented** (demonstrating measurable gains within a defined timeframe)

3. OBJECTIVE

The objective of the program is to:

- 3.1 **Strengthen instructional leadership:** Specific: Capacitate school principals and School Management Teams (SMTs) in all fifty targeted schools (primary and secondary)
- 3.2 to implement effective instructional leadership practices aligned to a theory of change.
- 3.3 **Improve the quality of teaching and classroom practice:** Specific: Improve teacher instructional practice in literacy, numeracy (GET), and key subjects (FET) through coaching, classroom observation, and professional development.
- 3.4 **Improve Curriculum Coverage and Instructional Time:** Specific: To ensure consistent and monitored curriculum delivery across all targeted schools.
- 3.5 **Strengthen the establishment and functionality of PLCs :** Specific: Establish or strengthen functional PLCs in all targeted schools to support continuous teacher development.
- 3.6 **Improve learner performance outcomes:** Specific: Improve learner achievement in targeted grades and subjects across GET and FET phases.
- 3.7 **Strengthen assessment practices and data use:** Specific: **Analyse assessment** data (determine where learners are struggling with and why; **Action** – (implement new teaching plans with teachers to respond to the analysis) and **Create** (create systems and procedures with target schools to ensure data- driven improvement).
- 3.8 **Establish a Monitoring, Evaluation, and Accountability System:** Specific: Implement a robust monitoring and evaluation framework to track implementation progress, accountability (main changes taking place as the programme is implemented, what causes change, and what lessons can be drawn from this intervention).

4. SCOPE OF SERVICES

The appointed service provider will be required to design and implement a **12-month, intensive instructional leadership and school improvement intervention** across the **50 identified Section 58B schools. Furthermore,** the bidder must demonstrate deep expertise in school improvement, effectiveness, and strategic leadership within the GDE context, while outlining practical strategies for on-site support, capacity building of teachers and school leaders, and the institutionalisation of sustainable systems that ensure long-term programme impact beyond the intervention period.

The scope of services will include, but not be limited to, the following:

4.1 Inception and Diagnostic Phase

4.2 Instructional Leadership Development

4.3 Teacher Development and Classroom Support

4.4 Establishment and Strengthening of Professional Learning Communities (PLCs)

4.5 Curriculum Coverage and Instructional Time Management

4.6 Learner Performance Improvement Support

4.7 Monitoring, Evaluation, and Evidence-Based Reporting

4.8 Materials and Tools for School Improvement and Development

4.9 Implementation Reporting and Knowledge Sharing

4.10 Sustainability and Handover

4.11 Monthly reports and close-out reports.

5. METHODOLOGY

The service provider will be required to present a rigorous, results-oriented methodology demonstrating a clear, structured approach to managing, implementing, monitoring, and evaluating the 12-month intervention across the Section 58B schools. The methodology must show how all scope elements and key milestones will be delivered within defined timelines, with strong alignment to improving instructional leadership, teaching quality, and learner

performance. It must incorporate robust performance management systems, including baseline, midline, and endline measurements, supported by data-driven decision-making and continuous feedback loops. The approach should include clear reporting mechanisms, quality assurance processes, and accountability structures to ensure consistency and impact across all 50 schools.

5.1 PRE-QUALIFICATION COMPETENCIES & EXPERTISE REQUIREMENTS

The selection criteria to assess the technical capacity of the service provider include the following:

- 5.1.1. A Project Leader should hold at least an advanced university degree (Master's or Higher) in Education, Social Science or any other subject relevant to education.
- 5.1.2. At least 5 years of relevant experience in Teacher Development or e-Learning professional development for teachers.
- 5.1.3. The Qualified bidder should know about project management.
- 5.1.4. The Qualified bidder should have team members who are qualified (experience and qualifications) to provide the training programmes with relevant experience in teacher professional development.
- 5.1.5. The Qualified bidder should exhibit first-hand experience of working on training projects in collaboration with the education sector, other organizations and providers.
- 5.1.6. The Qualified bidder should demonstrate how they will incorporate 21st-century skills for teachers in their Programmes, which include the integration of ICTs in teaching and learning in the classroom.
- 5.1.7. Effective time management and organizational skills to ensure qualitative outputs under strict deadlines.

6. OUTPUTS AND DELIVERABLES

The following outputs and deliverables outline the expected results and performance standards for the service provider in implementing a high-intensity, evidence-based intervention aimed at strengthening instructional leadership, improving teaching practice, and enhancing learner outcomes. They are designed to ensure a structured, data-driven, and impact-oriented approach aligned to the ToR objectives and the 90-day improvement cycle. Collectively, these deliverables will enable the service provider to demonstrate measurable impact, sustainability of interventions, and clear evidence of progress from leadership actions to improved teaching practice and ultimately enhanced learner outcomes.

6. 1. Diagnostic and Baseline Outputs

- 6.1.1. School-level diagnostic reports administered by the service provider(instructional leadership, teaching practice, learner performance)
- 6.1.2. Baseline data sets (curriculum coverage, assessment results, learner outcomes)
- 6. 1.3. Identified priority areas per school aligned to SIGHT and CoE focus after studying the institutional strategies.

6.2. Programme Design and Planning Deliverables

- 6.2.1. Approved implementation plan (90-day cycle with milestones and timelines)
- 6.2.2. Programme logic model showing causal pathway:
Leadership actions → Teaching practice → Learner outcomes
- 6.2.3. School-specific improvement plans aligned to GDE requirements
- 6.2.4. Monitoring and evaluation (M&E) framework with indicators and targets

6.3. Instructional Leadership Development Outputs

- 6.3.1. Training workshops for principals and SMTs on instructional leadership practices with a specific reference to critical needs as per target schools
- 6.3.2. Coaching and mentoring sessions (scheduled and documented)
- 6.3.3. Leadership toolkits which include (lesson observation tools, data-use guides, planning templates)
- 6.3.4. Evidence of leadership practices applied (e.g., lesson observations conducted, feedback provided)

6.4. Teacher Development and Classroom Practice Deliverables.

- 6.4.1. Structured teacher professional development sessions (subject-focused, practice-based)
- 6.4.2. In-class coaching and demonstration lessons
- 6.4.3. Teacher support materials (lesson plans, assessment tasks, instructional guides)
- 6.4.4. Records of teacher participation and development progress should be included in the reports.

6.5. Curriculum Coverage and Assessment Outputs

- 6.5.1. Curriculum tracking tools implemented and used at school level
- 6.5.2. Improved curriculum coverage reports (weekly/monthly)
- 6.5.3. Standardised assessment plans aligned to GDE standards
- 6.5.4. Moderated assessment samples and analysis reports

6.6. Learner Performance Improvement Deliverables

- 6.6.1. Interim learner performance reports (GET and FET phases)
- 6.6.2. Evidence of targeted interventions in high-impact subjects
- 6.6.3. Measurable improvements in learner achievement (formative and summative assessments)
- 6.6.4. Comparative analysis (baseline vs. post-intervention results)

6.7. School Systems Strengthening Outputs

- 6.7.1. Functional Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) established and operational
- 6.7.2. PLC meeting schedules, agendas, and minutes
- 6.7.3. Data-use systems implemented (dashboards, tracking tools)
- 6.7.4. School-based monitoring and accountability mechanisms established

6.8. Monitoring, Reporting, and Accountability Deliverables

- 6.8.1. Weekly and monthly progress reports
- 6.8.2. Real-time data dashboards reflecting programme performance
- 6.8.3. Midline review report (progress against targets)
- 6.8.4. Final evaluation report with impact analysis and lessons learned

6.9. Sustainability and Handover Outputs

- 6.9.1. Sustainability plan for continuation beyond the 90-day intervention
- 6.9.2. Capacity transfer reports (skills embedded in SMTs and teachers)
- 6.9.3. Institutionalised tools and processes (PLCs, data systems, monitoring routines)
- 6.9.4. Close-out report with recommendations for scale and replication

6.10. Impact and Outcome Deliverables

- 6.10.1. Demonstrated link between leadership actions and improved teaching practices
- 6.10.2. Documented improvements in classroom instruction quality
- 6.10.3. Evidence of strengthened instructional leadership and accountability
- 6.10.4. Measurable gains in learner outcomes within the intervention period

Impact will be measured through a combination of baseline, midline, and endline data comparisons, focusing on improvements in instructional leadership practices, teaching quality, and learner performance outcomes. Key indicators will include evidence of leadership actions (e.g., lesson observations, data use), changes in classroom practice (e.g., lesson quality, curriculum coverage), and measurable gains in learner achievement (assessment results in **GET** and **FET**).

This will be supported by real-time monitoring tools, regular progress reports, and a final evaluation that clearly demonstrates the causal pathway from leadership interventions to improved teaching and ultimately enhanced learner outcomes. The service provider should also provide key issues in both primary and secondary schools that lead to underperformance in the context of each school.

7. EVALUATION PROCESS

The Evaluation Process comprises the following 3 stages;

7.1. STAGE 1 Pre-evaluation stage/ mandatory documents

During this phase bid documents will be reviewed to determine compliance with tax matters and whether valid tax clearance certificates have been submitted with the bid documents at the closing date and time of bid.

Bidders must attach the following mandatory returnable documents in order to be considered for this bid:

1. Valid tax clearance certificate copy accompanied by a PIN for further verification,
2. Certified ID(s) copies of company director(s)
3. Certified Proof of company registration
4. Proof of registration on National Treasury Central Supplier Database (CSD) not older than one month.
5. Company municipal rates, electricity/water account not older than three months, proof of Residential lease, or sworn affidavit if the business operates at a place of residence.
6. Completed and signed Standard Bidding Document (SDB) attached in the tender document.
7. Proof of company banking details, e.g. bank letter with bank stamp, Company bank statement, code rating letter from the bank or any physical proof from the bank; the evidence must not be older than three months.

Failure to submit all of the above-mentioned documents may result in the disqualification of the bid.

8. Verification documents for points claimed for specific goals;
 - a. Certified copies of company directors' IDs,
 - b. CSD summary report not older than 1 month from the closing date,
 - c. Certified copy of CIPC Company registration document

- d. Valid BBBEE certificate
- e. Completed SBD Preference Points claim form

Failure to submit the above will result in points not being awarded for specific goals

7.2. STAGE 2 Technical/Functionality Evaluation

Bids will be evaluated strictly according to the bid evaluation criteria stipulated in this section. During this stage bidders' responses will be evaluated for functionality based on achieving a minimum score of seventy five percent (75%). Bidders who obtain less than 75% in the functionality points will be regarded as non-responsive and will be eliminated from the evaluation process.

Bidders must, as part of their bid documents, submit supportive documentation for all technical requirements as indicated in this document. The panel responsible for scoring the respective bids will evaluate and score all bids based on their submissions and the information provided.

Bidders will not rate themselves, but need to ensure that all information is supplied as required. The Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) will evaluate and score all responsive bids and will verify all documents submitted by the bidders.

The panel members will individually evaluate the responses received against the following criteria as set out below:

7.2.1. Evaluation Criteria

EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	WEIGHT
1A. Relevant Experience Bidders must submit their business profile indicating years of experience in a similar field (demonstrate an understanding of school improvement, effectiveness, and development in education, including projects implemented that are related to the tender under consideration) points will be allocated as follows:		25
3 to 4 years' experience in the field of school improvement and development	2	
5 to 6 years' experience in the field of school improvement and development	3	
7 to 8 years' experience in the field of school improvement and development	4	
9 years or more in the field of school improvement and development	5	
1B. Relevant Experience Reference letters or letters of recommendation. Bidders must submit letters from clients of previous similar work done (school improvement and development). The experience must be related to scope of services as indicated in this document; if not, the letter will not be considered. The letter must be signed and stamped by a relevant representative of the client, and it must be submitted on the client's letterhead with contact details. Reference letters may be verified by MGSLG.		

Page 11 of 45

EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	WEIGHT
3. EXPERIENCE, SKILLS AND QUALIFICATIONS OF THE KEY PERSONNEL OF THE PROJECT TEAM The bidder's key personnel of the proposed project team must have relevant qualifications, skills and experience. The bidder's must submit the CV(s) and certified copies of relevant qualification(s) for the project team, points will be allocated as follows: Project leader: Minimum of Five years relevant qualification and minimum of 5 years or more post qualification experience (NQF Level 8). Project Coordinator Minimum of 4 years relevant qualification and minimum of 5 years or more post-qualification experience. Education Improvement Specialist: Five (5) Specialists Minimum of 3 years relevant qualification and minimum of 3 years or more post-qualification experience.		30
CV of Project Leader, Project Coordinator, and 5 or more school effectiveness/improvement specialists in Education	30	
CV of Project Leader, Project Coordinator, and 4 school effectiveness/improvement specialists in Education	25	
CV of Project Leader, Project coordinator, and 3 school effectiveness/improvement specialists in Education	15	
CV of Project Leader, Project coordinator and 2 Education Improvement Specialists	10	
Provision of portfolio of evidence on training provided and learning materials used to capacitate educators on school improvement ;		10
Submission of portfolio of evidence with five targeted programs	10	
Submission of portfolio of evidence with four targeted programs	8	
Submission of portfolio of evidence with three targeted programs	6	
Submission of portfolio of evidence with two targeted programs	4	
Submission of portfolio of evidence with one targeted program	2	
Non submission	0	
Total	100%	
Minimum Qualifying Score	75%	

7.2.2. Minimum Qualifying Score

Each panel member will rate each individual criterion as per the above point allocation scales.

This score will be converted to a percentage and **only** bidders that have met or exceeded the minimum threshold of 75% for functionality will be evaluated and scored in terms of pricing and socio-economic goals as indicated hereunder.

Any proposal not meeting a **minimum score of 75%** on the technical proposal will be regarded as non-responsive and it will not be evaluated further.

8. STAGE 3: PRICE/FINANCIAL EVALUATION

Price, inclusive of VAT, will be evaluated as indicated below.

- a) In terms of regulation 5 of the Preferential Procurement Regulations pertaining to the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act 5 of 2000), and regulations issued in 2022, responsive bids will be evaluated by MGSLG on the 80/20 – preference point for Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment in terms of which points are awarded to bidders on the basis of:

- The bid price (maximum 80 points)
- Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment as well as specific goals (maximum 20 points)

- b) The following formula will be used to calculate the points for price in respect of bidders with a Rand value below R50 000 000.00:

$$P_s = 80 \left\{ \frac{1 - (P_t - P_{\min})}{P_{\min}} \right\} (P_{\min})$$

Where

P_s = Points scored for comparative price of bid under consideration

P_t = Comparative price of bid under consideration, inclusive of VAT

$P_{\min}$ = Comparative price of lowest acceptable bid, inclusive of VAT

A maximum of 20 points may be awarded to a bidder for being a Broad-Based Economic Empowerment and/or subcontracting with a Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment entity stipulated in the Preferential Procurement regulations. For this bid the maximum number of Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Status points that could be allocated to a bidder is indicated in the following section.

9.B-BBEE POINTS

The Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act 2000 (PPPFA) Regulations were gazetted on 8 June 2011 (No. 34350) and effective from 7 December 2011. These regulations require bidders to submit valid original or certified copies of their B-BBEE Status Level Certificates from a SANAS accredited verification agency and accredited auditing firm. The 80/20 preference points systems will be applied since the bid is estimated to be below R50 Million in accordance with the formula and applicable points provided for in the respective status level contributor tables in the Regulations.

Criteria Applicable	Weight
Price	80
Specific Goals	20

- a. The points scored by a bidder in respect of the specific goals will be added to the points scored for price.
- b. Bidders are requested to complete the various preference claim forms in order to claim preference points.
- c. Only a bidder who has completed and signed the preference claim form will be considered.
- d. Supply Chain Management may, before a bid is adjudicated or at any time, require a bidder to substantiate claims it has made with regards to points claimed.
- e. Points scored will be rounded off to the nearest 2 decimals.
- f. In the event that two or more bids have scored equal total points, the contract will be awarded to the bidder scoring the highest number of points for specific goals. Should two or more bids be equal in all respects, the award shall be awarded to the bidder who scored the highest functionality points, should two or more bids be equal after this, the award shall be decided by drawing of lots..
- g. A contract may, on reasonable and justifiable grounds, be awarded to a bid that did not score the highest number of points.
- h. ***MGSLG reserves the right to arrange contracts with more than one contractor.***
- i. ***MGSLG reserves a right to withdraw, cancel or not to award this tender.***

The bidder should apply for registration on the MGSLG Supplier Database. Application forms for registration are available on the MGSLG Website www.mgslg.co.za . The duly completed application form should be submitted together with the financial proposal.

10. COMMUNICATION

Supply Chain Management (SCM) Unit will communicate with bidders for, among others, where bid clarity is sought, to obtain information or to extend the validity period. Any communication either by facsimile, letter or electronic mail or any other form of correspondence to any official or representative of MGSLG in respect of this bid between the closing date and the award of the bid by the bidder is prohibited.

11. PROHIBITION OF RESTRICTIVE PRACTICES

- a. In terms of section 4(1) of the Competition Act No. 89 of 1998, as amended, an agreement between, or concerted practice by, firms, or a decision by an association of firms, is prohibited if it is between parties in a horizontal relationship and if a bidder(s) is (are) or a contractor(s) was/were involved in:
 - Directly or indirectly fixing a purchase or selling price or any other trading condition
 - Dividing markets by allocating customers, suppliers, territories or specific types of goods or services; or
 - Collusive bidding.
- b. If a bidder(s) or contractor(s), in the judgement of the purchaser, has/have engaged in any of the restrictive practices referred to above, the purchaser may, without prejudice to any other remedy provided for, invalidate the bid(s) for such item(s) offered or terminate the contract in whole or in part and refer the matter to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties as contemplated in the Competition Act No. 89 of 1998.

12. FRONTING

- a. MGSLG, in compliance with regulations, supports the spirit of Broad Based Black Economic Empowerment and recognises that real empowerment can only be achieved through individuals and businesses conducting themselves in accordance with the Constitution and in an honest, fair, equitable, transparent and legally compliant manner. Against this background the MGSLG condemns any form of fronting.
- b. MGSLG, in ensuring that bidders conduct themselves in an honest manner will, as part of the bid evaluation processes, conduct or initiate the necessary enquiries/investigations to determine that accuracy of the representation made in bid documents. Should any of the fronting indicators as contained in the Guidelines on Complex Structures and Transactions and Fronting, issued by the Department of Trade and Industry (DTI), be established during such enquiry/investigation, the onus will be on the bidder/contractor to prove that fronting does not exist. Failure to do so within 14 days from date of notification may invalidate the bid/contract and may also result in restriction of the bidder/contractor to conduct business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten years, in addition to any other remedies MGSLG may have against the bidder/contractor concerned.
- c. The awarded bidder cannot sub-contract more than 25% of the total project value to the company that is non-compliant with B-BBEE companies.

13. COMPULSORY BRIEFING

A briefing session will be held on **29 July 2026 at 11h00** at the Vrededorp Campus of the Matthew Goniwe School of Leadership and Governance. This session will provide bidders an opportunity to clarify certain aspects of the process as set out in this document and to address any substantial issues they might have.

14. PRESENTATION

MGSLG may require presentation/interviews from shortlisted bidders as part of the bid process.

15. TIMEFRAMES AND FORMAL CONTRACT

Successful bidder(s) will be required to enter into formal contract with MGSLG.

16. PACKAGING OF BID

The bidder shall place both the sealed Technical Proposal and Price/Financial Proposal envelopes into an outer sealed envelope or package. The bid must be registered and submitted into the tender box in the Vrededorp Office reception. The envelopes must be clearly marked as follows:

17. FUNCTIONALITY/TECHNICAL PROPOSAL

Bid No: MGSLG/2026/SEC/58B-SSP01

Description: SECTION 58B SCHOOL SUPPORT PROGRAMME

Bid Closing date and Time: 07 AUGUST 2026 at 11h00.

Name and Address of Bidder:.....

In this envelope, the bidder shall only address the technical aspects of the bid.

18. PRICE/FINANCIAL PROPOSAL

Bid No: MGSLG/2026/SEC58B-SSP01

Description: SECTION 58B SCHOOL SUPPORT PROGRAMME

Bid Closing date and Time: 07 AUGUST at 11h00

Name and Address of Bidder:.....

In this envelope, the bidder shall only provide the mandatory document and the price/financial proposal.

The Technical Proposal envelope and Financial Proposal envelope shall contain one original hard copy document, clearly marked "Original" and One (1) hard copies, clearly marked "Copy".

19. CONTACT DETAILS

MGSLG Supply Chain Management
Private Bag X9,
Melville, 2109

Postnet Suite 161,
Melville, 2109
Physical Address: 40 Hull Street, Vrededorp

For general enquiries:

procurement@mgsi.co.za

For technical enquiries:

procurement@mgsi.co.za

**The bidder is instructed to submit their proposals together with the following documents.
Failure to submit complete documentation will render the bidder's proposal null and void.**

20. INVITATION TO BID

YOU ARE HEREBY INVITED TO BID FOR
TENDER NAME:MANAGEMENT,MONITORING AND EVALUATION OF ONSITE SUPPORT OF ICT

BID NUMBER: MGSLG/2026/SEC58B-SSP01

CLOSING DATE:

CLOSING TIME: 11:00

DESCRIPTION: MANAGEMENT,MONITORING AND EVALUATION OF ONSITE SUPPORT OF ICT

The successful bidder will be required to fill in and sign a written Contract Form (SBD 7).

Bidders should ensure that bids are delivered timeously to the correct address.
If the bid is late, it will not be accepted for consideration.

ALL BIDS MUST BE SUBMITTED ON THE OFFICIAL FORMS – (NOT TO BE RE-TYPED)

THIS BID IS SUBJECT TO THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY FRAMEWORK ACT AND THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2011, THE GENERAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT (GCC) AND, IF APPLICABLE, ANY OTHER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT

THE FOLLOWING PARTICULARS MUST BE FURNISHED
(FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN YOUR BID BEING DISQUALIFIED)

NAME OF BIDDER.....

POSTAL ADDRESS.....

STREET ADDRESS.....

TELEPHONE NUMBER CODE.....NUMBER.....

CELLPHONE NUMBER

FACSIMILE NUMBER CODE NUMBER.....

E-MAIL ADDRESS.....

VAT REGISTRATION NUMBER.....

HAS AN ORIGINAL AND VALID TAX CLEARANCE CERTIFICATE BEEN SUBMITTED? (SBD 2) YES or NO

HAS A B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE BEEN SUBMITTED? (SBD 6.1) YES or NO

IF YES, WHO WAS THE CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY?

AN ACCOUNTING OFFICER AS CONTEMPLATED IN THE CLOSE CORPORATION ACT (CCA).....

.....
A VERIFICATION AGENCY ACCREDITED BY THE SOUTH AFRICAN ACCREDITATION SYSTEM (SANAS);

OR.....

A REGISTERED AUDITOR?

[TICK APPLICABLE OPTION]

(A B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE MUST BE SUBMITTED IN ORDER TO QUALIFY FOR PREFERENCE POINTS FOR B-BBEE)

ARE YOU THE ACCREDITED REPRESENTATIVE

IN SOUTH AFRICA FOR THE GOODS / SERVICES / WORKS OFFERED? YES or NO [IF YES ENCLOSE PROOF]

SIGNATURE OF BIDDER.....

DATE.....

CAPACITY UNDER WHICH THIS BID IS SIGNED.....

TOTAL BID PRICE.....

ANY ENQUIRIES REGARDING THE BIDDING PROCEDURE MAY BE DIRECTED TO:

Department: Supply chain Management unit

E-mail address: procurement@mgsi.co.za

ANY ENQUIRIES REGARDING TECHNICAL INFORMATION MAY BE DIRECTED TO:

E-mail address: procurement@mgsi.co.za

21. TAX CLEARANCE CERTIFICATE REQUIREMENTS

It is a condition of bid that the taxes of the successful bidder must be in order, or that satisfactory arrangements have been made with South African Revenue Service (SARS) to meet the bidder's tax obligations.

1. In order to meet this requirement bidders are required to complete in full the attached form TCC 001 "Application for a Tax Clearance Certificate" and submit it to any SARS branch office nationally. The Tax Clearance Certificate Requirements are also applicable to foreign bidders / individuals who wish to submit bids.
2. SARS will then furnish the bidder with a Tax Clearance Certificate that will be valid for a period of 1 (one) year from the date of approval.
3. The original Tax Clearance Certificate must be submitted together with the bid. Failure to submit the original and valid Tax Clearance Certificate will result in the invalidation of the bid. Certified copies of the Tax Clearance Certificate will not be acceptable.
4. In bids where Consortia / Joint Ventures / Sub-contractors are involved, each party must submit a separate Tax Clearance Certificate.
5. Copies of the TCC 001 "Application for a Tax Clearance Certificate" form are available from any SARS branch office nationally or on the website www.sars.gov.za.
6. Applications for the Tax Clearance Certificates may also be made via e-Filing. In order to use this provision, taxpayers will need to register with SARS as filers through the website www.sars.gov.za.

SBD 3.1

22. PRICING SCHEDULE – FIRM PRICES

(PURCHASES)

NOTE: ONLY FIRM PRICES WILL BE ACCEPTED. NON-FIRM PRICES (INCLUDING PRICES SUBJECT TO RATES OF EXCHANGE VARIATIONS) WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED

IN CASES WHERE DIFFERENT DELIVERY POINTS INFLUENCE THE PRICING, A SEPARATE PRICING SCHEDULE MUST BE SUBMITTED FOR EACH DELIVERY POINT

Name of bidder..... Bid number: MGSLG/2026/SEC58B-SSP01

Closing Time 11:00 Closing date: 07 AUGUST 2026

OFFER TO BE VALID FOR **120...DAYS** FROM THE CLOSING DATE OF BID.

ITEM NO.	QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	BID PRICE IN RSA CURRENCY
** (ALL APPLICABLE TAXES INCLUDED)			

FROM OF OFFER

Please provide bid price below in figures and in words:

BID PRICE IN FIGURES R.....

BID PRICE IN WORDS

.....

.....

.....

The bidder must attach a separate price breakdown schedule that demonstrate each line item that makes up the grand total above

- Does the offer comply with the specification(s)? *YES/NO
- If not to specification, indicate deviation(s)
- Period required for delivery

Note: All delivery costs must be included in the bid price, for delivery at the prescribed destination.

** "all applicable taxes" includes value- added tax, pay as you earn, income tax, unemployment insurance fund contributions and skills development levies.

*Delete if not applicable

23. DECLARATION OF INTEREST

1. Any legal person, including persons employed by the state¹, or persons having a kinship with persons employed by the state, including a blood relationship, may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid (includes a price quotation, advertised competitive bid, limited bid or proposal). In view of possible allegations of favouritism, should the resulting bid, or part thereof, be awarded to persons employed by the state, or to persons connected with or related to them, it is required that the bidder or his/her authorised representative declare his/her position in relation to the evaluating/adjudicating authority where-

- the bidder is employed by the state; and/or
- the legal person on whose behalf the bidding document is signed, has a relationship with persons/a person who are/is involved in the evaluation and or adjudication of the bid(s), or where it is known that such a relationship exists between the person or persons for or on whose behalf the declarant acts and persons who are involved with the evaluation and or adjudication of the bid.

2. **In order to give effect to the above, the following questionnaire must be completed and submitted with the bid.**

- 2.1 Full Name of bidder or his or her representative:
- 2.2 Identity Number:
- 2.3 Position occupied in the Company (director, trustee, shareholder²):
- 2.4 Company Registration Number:
- 2.5 Tax Reference Number:
- 2.6 VAT Registration Number:

- 2.6.1 The names of all directors / trustees / shareholders / members, their individual identity numbers, tax reference numbers and, if applicable, employee / persal numbers must be indicated in paragraph 3 below.

¹"State" means –

- (a) any national or provincial department, national or provincial public entity or constitutional institution within the meaning of the Public Finance Management Act, 1999 (Act No. 1 of 1999);
- (b) any municipality or municipal entity;
- (c) provincial legislature;
- (d) national Assembly or the national Council of provinces; or
- (e) Parliament.

²"Shareholder" means a person who owns shares in the company and is actively involved in the management of the enterprise or business and exercises control over the enterprise.

- 2.7 Are you or any person connected with the bidder
presently employed by the state? **YES / NO**

2.7.1 If so, furnish the following particulars:

Name of person / director / trustee / shareholder/ member:

Name of state institution at which you or the person

connected to the bidder is employed :

Position occupied in the state institution:

Any other particulars:

.....
.....
.....

2.7.2 If you are presently employed by the state, did you obtain the appropriate authority to undertake remunerative work outside employment in the public sector? YES / NO

2.7.2.1 If yes, did you attached proof of such authority to the bid document? YES / NO

(Note: Failure to submit proof of such authority, where applicable, may result in the disqualification of the bid.

2.7.2.2 If no, furnish reasons for non-submission of such proof:

.....
.....
.....

2.8 Did you or your spouse, or any of the company's directors / trustees / shareholders / members or their spouses conduct business with the state in the previous twelve months? YES / NO

2.8.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....
.....
.....

2.9 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have any relationship (family, friend, other) with a person employed by the state and who may be involved with the evaluation and or adjudication of this bid? YES / NO

2.9.1 If so, furnish particulars.

.....
.....
.....

2.10 Are you, or any person connected with the bidder,
YES/NO

aware of any relationship (family, friend,
other) between any other bidder and any
person employed by the state
who may be involved with the evaluation and or
adjudication of this bid?

2.10.1 If so, furnish particulars.

.....
.....
.....

2.11 Do you or any of the directors / trustees / shareholders / members **YES/NO**
of the company have any interest in any other related
companies whether or not they are bidding for this
contract?

2.11.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....
.....
.....

Full details of directors / trustees / members / shareholders.

Full Name	Identity Number	Personal Reference Number	Tax Number	State Number Number	Employee / Persal Number

DECLARATION

I, THE UNDERSIGNED (NAME).....

CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.
I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 23

OF THE GENERAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

.....
Signature

.....
Date

.....
Position

.....
Name of bidder

24. PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2011, AS PREVAILING AT THE TIME OF THE BID

This preference form must form part of all bids invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, BIDDERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF B-BBEE, AS PRESCRIBED IN THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, AS PREVAILING AT THE TIME OF THE BID.

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to all bids:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
- the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000.00 (all applicable taxes included).

1.2 The value of this bid is estimated not exceed R50 000 000.00 (all applicable taxes included) and therefore the...80/20...system shall be applicable.

1.3 Preference points for this bid shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
- (b) Specific goals

1.3.1 The maximum points for this bid are allocated as follows:

POINTS

1.3.1.1	PRICE	80
1.3.1.2	SPECIFIC GOALS	20
	Total points for Price and Specific goals	100

1.4 Failure on the part of a bidder to fill in and/or to sign this form and submit proof of documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.

1.5. The purchaser reserves the right to require of a bidder, either before a bid is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preference points, in any manner required by the purchaser.

2. DEFINITIONS

2..1 “all applicable taxes” includes value-added tax, pay as you earn, income tax, unemployment insurance

- 2.2 **“B-BBEE”** means broad-based black economic empowerment as defined in section 1 of the Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Act;
- 2.3 **“B-BBEE status level of contributor”** means the B-BBEE status received by a measured entity based on its overall performance using the relevant scorecard contained in the Codes of Good Practice on Black Economic Empowerment, issued in terms of section 9(1) of the Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Act;
- 2.4 **“bid”** means a written offer in a prescribed or stipulated form in response to an invitation by an organ of state for the provision of services, works or goods, through price quotations, advertised competitive bidding processes or proposals;
- 2.5 **“Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Act”** means the Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Act, 2003 (Act No. 53 of 2003);
- 2.6 **“comparative price”** means the price after the factors of a non-firm price and all unconditional discounts that can be utilized have been taken into consideration;
- 2.7 **“consortium or joint venture”** means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract;
- 2.8 **“contract”** means the agreement that results from the acceptance of a bid by an organ of state;
- 2.9 **“EME”** means any enterprise with an annual total revenue of R5 million or less .
- 2.10 **“Firm price”** means the price that is only subject to adjustments in accordance with the actual increase or decrease resulting from the change, imposition, or abolition of customs or excise duty and any other duty, levy, or tax, which, in terms of the law or regulation, is binding on the contractor and demonstrably has an influence on the price of any supplies, or the rendering costs of any service, for the execution of the contract;
- 2.11 **“functionality”** means the measurement according to predetermined norms, as set out in the bid documents, of a service or commodity that is designed to be practical and useful, working or operating, taking into account, among other factors, the quality, reliability, viability and durability of a service and the technical capacity and ability of a bidder;
- 2.12 **“non-firm prices”** means all prices other than “firm” prices;
- 2.13 **“person”** includes a juristic person;
- 2.14 **“rand value”** means the total estimated value of a contract in South African currency, calculated at the time of bid invitations, and includes all applicable taxes and excise duties;
- 2.15 **“sub-contract”** means the primary contractor’s assigning, leasing, making out work to, or employing, another person to support such primary contractor in the execution of part of a project in terms of the contract;
- 2.16 **“total revenue”** bears the same meaning assigned to this expression in the Codes of Good Practice on Black Economic Empowerment, issued in terms of section 9(1) of the Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Act and promulgated in the *Government Gazette* on 9 February 2007;
- 2.17 **“trust”** means the arrangement through which the property of one person is made over or bequeathed to a trustee to administer such property for the benefit of another person; and



2.18 “trustee” means any person, including the founder of a trust, to whom property is bequeathed in order for such property to be administered for the benefit of another person.

3. ADJUDICATION USING A POINT SYSTEM

- 3.1 The bidder obtaining the highest number of total points will be awarded the contract.
- 3.2 Preference points shall be calculated after prices have been brought to a comparative basis taking into account all factors of non-firm prices and all unconditional discounts;.
- 3.3 Points scored must be rounded off to the nearest 2 decimal places.
- 3.4 In the event that two or more bids have scored equal total points, the successful bid must be the one scoring the highest number for specific goals.
- 3.5 However, when functionality is part of the evaluation process and two or more bids have scored equal points including equal points for specific goals, the successful bid must be the one scoring the highest score for functionality.
- 3.6 Should two or more bids be equal in all respects, the award shall be decided by the drawing of lots.

4. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

4.1 THE 80/20 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

Ps = Points scored for comparative price of bid under consideration

Pt = Price of bid under consideration

P min = Price of lowest acceptable bid

$$Ps = 80[1 - (Pt - Pmin / Pmin)]$$

5. Points awarded for Specific Goals

- 5.1 In terms of Regulation 4(2), 5(2), 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purpose of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in Table A below, as supported by the proof/documentation stated in the conditions of the tender.

TABLE A

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated	Number of points claimed(80/20 system)
		To be completed by the tenderer
Black ownership	100% = 8 points	
	99% - 51% = 4 points	
	50% - 30% = 2 points	
Black woman ownership	100% = 4 points	
	99% - 51% = 2 points	
Black youth ownership	100% = 4 points	
	99% - 51% = 2 points	
Township	100% = 4 points	
	99% - 51% = 2 points	

- 5.2 Bidders who qualify as EMEs in terms of the B-BBEE Act must submit a certificate issued by an Accounting Officer as contemplated in the CCA or a Verification Agency accredited by SANAS or a Registered Auditor. Registered auditors do not need to meet the prerequisite for IRBA's approval for the purpose of conducting verification and issuing EMEs with B-BBEE Status Level Certificates.
- 5.3 Bidders other than EMEs must submit their original and valid B-BBEE status level verification certificate or a certified copy thereof, substantiating their B-BBEE rating issued by a Registered Auditor approved by IRBA or a Verification Agency accredited by SANAS.
- 5.4 A trust, consortium or joint venture, will qualify for points for their B-BBEE status level as a legal entity, provided that the entity submits their B-BBEE status level certificate.
- 5.5 A trust, consortium or joint venture will qualify for points for their B-BBEE status level as an unincorporated entity, provided that the entity submits their consolidated B-BBEE scorecard as if they were a group structure and that such a consolidated B-BBEE scorecard is prepared for every separate bid.
- 5.6 Tertiary institutions and public entities will be required to submit their B-BBEE status level certificates in terms of the specialized scorecard contained in the B-BBEE Codes of Good Practice.
- 5.7 A person will not be awarded points for B-BBEE status level if it is indicated in the bid documents that such a bidder intends sub-contracting more than 25% of the value of the contract to any other enterprise that does not qualify for at least the points that such a bidder qualifies for, unless the intended sub-contractor is an EME that has the capability and ability to execute the sub-contract.
- 5.8 A person awarded a contract may not sub-contract more than 25% of the value of the contract to any other

enterprise that does not have an equal or higher B-BBEE status level than the person concerned, unless the contract is sub-contracted to an EME that has the capability and ability to execute sub-contract.

6. BID DECLARATION

6.1 Bidders who claim points in respect of B-BBEE Status Level of Contribution must complete the following:

7. B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL OF CONTRIBUTION CLAIMED IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPHS 1.3.1.2 AND 5.1

7.1 B-BBEE Status Level of Contribution: =(maximum of 10 or 20 points)

(Points claimed in respect of paragraph 7.1 must be in accordance with the table reflected in paragraph 5.1 and must be substantiated by means of a B-BBEE certificate issued by a Verification Agency accredited by SANAS or a Registered Auditor approved by IRBA or an Accounting Officer as contemplated in the CCA).

8 SUB-CONTRACTING

8.1 Will any portion of the contract be sub-contracted? YES / NO (delete which is not applicable)

8.1.1 If yes, indicate:

- (i) what percentage of the contract will be subcontracted?%
- (ii) the name of the sub-contractor?.....
- (iii) the B-BBEE status level of the sub-contractor?
- (iv) whether the sub-contractor is an EME? YES / NO (delete which is not applicable)

9 DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM

9.1 Name of company/firm :

9.2 VAT registration number :

9.3 Company registration number

9.4 TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM

- ☐ Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
- ☐ One person business/sole propriety
- ☐ Close corporation
- ☐ Company
- ☐ (Pty) Limited

[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

9.5 DESCRIBE PRINCIPAL BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

.....
.....
.....

9.6 COMPANY CLASSIFICATION

- ☐ Manufacturer
- ☐ Supplier
- ☐ Professional service provider
- ☐ Other service providers, e.g. transporter, etc.

[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

9.7 Total number of years the company/firm has been in business?

9.8 I/we, the undersigned, who is / are duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the B-BBEE status level of contribution indicated in paragraph 7 of the foregoing certificate, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I / we acknowledge that:

- (i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- (ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions and special conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form.
- (iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraph 7, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the purchaser that the claims are correct;
- (iv) If the preferential procurement points have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the purchaser may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
 - (a) disqualify the person from the bidding process;
 - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct,
 - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
 - (d) restrict the bidder or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the audi alteram partem (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
 - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution

WITNESSES:

1.

2.

.....
SIGNATURE(S) OF BIDDER(S)

DATE:.....
ADDRESS:.....

.....

.....

25. DECLARATION CERTIFICATE FOR LOCAL PRODUCTION AND CONTENT FOR DESIGNATED SECTORS

This Standard Bidding Document (SBD) must form part of all bids invited. It contains general information and serves as a declaration form for local content (local production and local content are used interchangeably).

Before completing this declaration, bidders must study the General Conditions, Definitions, Directives applicable in respect of Local Content as prescribed in the Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2011, the South African Bureau of Standards (SABS) approved technical specification number SATS 1286:2011 (Edition 1) and the Guidance on the Calculation of Local Content together with the Local Content Declaration Templates [Annex C (Local Content Declaration: Summary Schedule), D (Imported Content Declaration: Supporting Schedule to Annex C) and E (Local Content Declaration: Supporting Schedule to Annex C)].

1. General Conditions

- 1.1. Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2011 (Regulation 9) makes provision for the promotion of local production and content.
- 1.2. Regulation 9.(1) prescribes that in the case of designated sectors, where in the award of bids local production and content is of critical importance, such bids must be advertised with the specific bidding condition that only locally produced goods, services or works or locally manufactured goods, with a stipulated minimum threshold for local production and content will be considered.
- 1.3. Where necessary, for bids referred to in paragraph 1.2 above, a two stage bidding process may be followed, where the first stage involves a minimum threshold for local production and content and the second stage price and B-BBEE.
- 1.4. A person awarded a contract in relation to a designated sector, may not sub-contract in such a manner that the local production and content of the overall value of the contract is reduced to below the stipulated minimum threshold.
- 1.5. The local content (LC) expressed as a percentage of the bid price must be calculated in accordance with the SABS approved technical specification number SATS 1286: 2011 as follows:

$$LC = [1 - x / y] * 100$$

Where

x is the imported content in Rand

y is the bid price in Rand excluding value added tax (VAT)

Prices referred to in the determination of x must be converted to Rand (ZAR) by using the exchange rate published by South African Reserve Bank (SARB) at 12:00 on the date of advertisement of the bid as indicated in paragraph 4.1 below.

The SABS approved technical specification number SATS 1286:2011 is accessible on <http://www.thedti.gov.za/industrial-development/ip.jsp> at no cost.

1.6 A bid may be disqualified if –

- (a) this Declaration Certificate and the Annex C (Local Content Declaration: Summary Schedule) are not submitted as part of the bid documentation; and
- (b) the bidder fails to declare that the Local Content Declaration Templates (Annex C, D and E) have been audited and certified as correct.

2. Definitions

- 2.1. **“bid”** includes written price quotations, advertised competitive bids or proposals;
 - 2.2. **“bid price”** price offered by the bidder, excluding value added tax (VAT);
 - 2.3. **“contract”** means the agreement that results from the acceptance of a bid by an organ of state;
 - 2.4. **“designated sector”** means a sector, sub-sector or industry that has been designated by the Department of Trade and Industry in line with national development and industrial policies for local production, where only locally produced services, works or goods or locally manufactured goods meet the stipulated minimum threshold for local production and content;
 - 2.5. **“duly sign”** means a Declaration Certificate for Local Content that has been signed by the Chief Financial Officer or other legally responsible person nominated in writing by the Chief Executive, or senior member / person with management responsibility(close corporation, partnership or individual).
 - 2.6. **“imported content”** means that portion of the bid price represented by the cost of components, parts or materials which have been or are still to be imported (whether by the supplier or its subcontractors) and which costs are inclusive of the costs abroad (this includes labour or intellectual property costs), plus freight and other direct importation costs, such as landing costs, dock duties, import duty, sales duty or other similar tax or duty at the South African port of entry;
 - 2.7. **“local content”** means that portion of the bid price which is not included in the imported content, provided that local manufacture does take place;
 - 2.8. **“stipulated minimum threshold”** means that portion of local production and content as determined by the Department of Trade and Industry; and
 - 2.9. **“sub-contract”** means the primary contractor’s assigning, leasing, making out work to, or employing another person to support such primary contractor in the execution of part of a project in terms of the contract.
3. **The stipulated minimum threshold(s) for local production and content (refer to Annex A of SATS 1286:2011) for this bid is/are as follows:**

Description of services, works or goods

Stipulated minimum threshold

%

%

%

4. Does any portion of the services, works or goods offered have any imported content?

(Tick applicable box)

YES		NO	
-----	--	----	--

- 4.1 If yes, the rate(s) of exchange to be used in this bid to calculate the local content as prescribed in paragraph 1.5 of the general conditions must be the rate(s) published by SARB for the specific currency at 12:00 on the date of advertisement of the bid.

The relevant rates of exchange information is accessible on www.reservebank.co.za.

Indicate the rate(s) of exchange against the appropriate currency in the table below (refer to Annex A of SATS 1286:2011):

Currency	Rates of exchange
US Dollar	
Pound Sterling	
Euro	
Yen	
Other	

NB: Bidders must submit proof of the SARB rate (s) of exchange used.

5. Were the Local Content Declaration Templates (Annex C, D and E) audited and certified as correct?
(Tick applicable box)

YES		NO	
-----	--	----	--

- 5.1. If yes, provide the following particulars:

- (a) Full name of auditor:.....
- (b) Practice number:
- (c) Telephone and cell number:.....
- (d) Email address:

(Documentary proof regarding the declaration will, when required, be submitted to the satisfaction of the Accounting Officer / Accounting Authority)

6. Where, after the award of a bid, challenges are experienced in meeting the stipulated minimum threshold for local content the dti must be informed accordingly in order for the dti to verify and in consultation with the AO/AA provide directives in this regard.

**LOCAL CONTENT DECLARATION (REFER TO
ANNEX B OF SATS 1286:2011)**

LOCAL CONTENT DECLARATION BY CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OR OTHER LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE PERSON NOMINATED IN WRITING BY THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OR SENIOR MEMBER/PERSON WITH MANAGEMENT RESPONSIBILITY (CLOSE CORPORATION, PARTNERSHIP OR INDIVIDUAL)

IN RESPECT OF BID NO.

ISSUED BY: (Procurement Authority / Name of Institution):

..... NB

1 The obligation to complete, duly sign and submit this declaration cannot be transferred to an external authorized representative, auditor or any other third party acting on behalf of the bidder.

2 Guidance on the Calculation of Local Content together with Local Content Declaration Templates (Annex C, D and E) is accessible on http://www.thdti.gov.za/industrial_development/ip.jsp. Bidders should first complete Declaration D. After completing Declaration D, bidders should complete Declaration E and then consolidate the information on Declaration C. **Declaration C should be submitted with the bid documentation at the closing date and time of the bid in order to substantiate the declaration made in paragraph (c) below.** Declarations D and E should be kept by the bidders for verification purposes for a period of at least 5 years. The successful bidder is required to continuously update Declarations C, D and E with the actual values for the duration of the contract.

I, the undersigned, (full names), do hereby declare, in my capacity as of (name of bidder entity), the following:

(a) The facts contained herein are within my own personal knowledge. (b) I

have satisfied myself that:

- (i) the goods/services/works to be delivered in terms of the above-specified bid comply with the minimum local content requirements as specified in the bid, and as measured in terms of SATS 1286:2011; and
- (ii) the declaration templates have been audited and certified to be correct.

(c) The local content percentage (%) indicated below has been calculated using the formula given in clause 3 of SATS 1286:2011, the rates of exchange indicated in paragraph 4.1 above and the information contained in Declaration D and E which has been consolidated in Declaration C:

Bid price, excluding VAT (y)	R
Imported content (x), as calculated in terms of SATS 1286:2011	R
Stipulated minimum threshold for local content (paragraph 3 above)	
Local content %, as calculated in terms of SATS 1286:2011	

If the bid is for more than one product, the local content percentages for each product contained in Declaration C shall be used instead of the table above.

The local content percentages for each product has been calculated using the formula given in clause 3 of SATS 1286:2011, the rates of exchange indicated in paragraph 4.1 above and the information contained in Declaration D and E.

(d) I accept that the Procurement Authority / Institution has the right to request that the local content be verified in terms of the requirements of SATS 1286:2011.

(e) I understand that the awarding of the bid is dependent on the accuracy of the information furnished in this application. I also understand that the submission of incorrect data, or data that are not verifiable as described in SATS 1286:2011, may result in the Procurement Authority / Institution imposing any or all of the remedies as provided for in Regulation 13 of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2011 promulgated under the Preferential Policy Framework Act (PPFA), 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

SIGNATURE: _____

DATE: _____

WITNESS No. 1 _____

DATE: _____

WITNESS No. 2 _____

DATE: _____

26. CONTRACT FORM - RENDERING OF SERVICES

THIS FORM MUST BE FILLED IN DUPLICATE BY BOTH THE SERVICE PROVIDER (PART 1) AND THE PURCHASER (PART 2). BOTH FORMS MUST BE SIGNED IN THE ORIGINAL SO THAT THE SERVICE PROVIDER AND THE PURCHASER WOULD BE IN POSSESSION OF ORIGINALLY SIGNED CONTRACTS FOR THEIR RESPECTIVE RECORDS.

PART 1 (TO BE FILLED IN BY THE SERVICE PROVIDER)

1. I hereby undertake to render services described in the attached bidding documents to (name of the institution)..... in accordance with the requirements and task directives / proposals specifications stipulated in Bid Number..... at the price/s quoted. My offer/s remain binding upon me and open for acceptance by the Purchaser during the validity period indicated and calculated from the closing date of the bid .
2. The following documents shall be deemed to form and be read and construed as part of this agreement:
 - (i) Bidding documents, viz
 - Invitation to bid;
 - Tax clearance certificate;
 - Pricing schedule(s);
 - Filled in task directive/proposal;
 - Preference claims for Broad Based Black Economic Empowerment Status Level of Contribution in terms of the Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011;
 - Declaration of interest;
 - Declaration of bidder's past SCM practices;
 - Certificate of Independent Bid Determination;
 - Special Conditions of Contract; (ii)
 - General Conditions of Contract; and
 - (iii) Other (specify)
3. I confirm that I have satisfied myself as to the correctness and validity of my bid; that the price(s) and rate(s) quoted cover all the services specified in the bidding documents; that the price(s) and rate(s) cover all my obligations and I accept that any mistakes regarding price(s) and rate(s) and calculations will be at my own risk.
4. I accept full responsibility for the proper execution and fulfilment of all obligations and conditions devolving on me under this agreement as the principal liable for the due fulfillment of this contract.
5. I declare that I have no participation in any collusive practices with any bidder or any other person regarding this or any other bid.
6. I confirm that I am duly authorised to sign this contract.

NAME (PRINT)

CAPACITY

SIGNATURE

NAME OF FIRM

DATE

WITNESSES

1

.....

2

CONTRACT FORM - RENDERING OF SERVICES

PART 2 (TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PURCHASER)

1. I..... in my capacity
as.....
accept your bid under reference numberdated.....for the rendering
of services indicated hereunder and/or further specified in the annexure(s).
2. An official order indicating service delivery instructions is forthcoming.
3. I undertake to make payment for the services rendered in accordance with the terms and conditions
of the contract, within 30 (thirty) days after receipt of an invoice.

DESCRIPTION OF SERVICE	PRICE (ALL APPLICABLE TAXES INCLUDED)	COMPLETION DATE	B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL OF CONTRIBUTION	MINIMUM THRESHOLD FOR LOCAL PRODUCTION AND CONTENT (if applicable)

4. I confirm that I am duly authorised to sign this contract.

SIGNED ATON.....

NAME (PRINT) SIGNATURE

..... OFFICIAL STAMP

WITNESSES

1

2

DATE:

27. DECLARATION OF BIDDER'S PAST SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

- 1 This Standard Bidding Document must form part of all bids invited.
- 2 It serves as a declaration to be used by institutions in ensuring that when goods and services are being procured, all reasonable steps are taken to combat the abuse of the supply chain management system.
- 3 The bid of any bidder may be disregarded if that bidder, or any of its directors have-
 - a. abused the institution's supply chain management system;
 - b. committed fraud or any other improper conduct in relation to such system; or
 - c. failed to perform on any previous contract.
- 4 **In order to give effect to the above, the following questionnaire must be completed and submitted with the bid.**

Item	Question	Yes	No
4.1	Is the bidder or any of its directors listed on the National Treasury's Database of Restricted Suppliers as companies or persons prohibited from doing business with the public sector? (Companies or persons who are listed on this Database were informed in writing of this restriction by the Accounting Officer/Authority of the institution that imposed the restriction after the <i>audi alteram partem</i> rule was applied). The Database of Restricted Suppliers now resides on the National Treasury's website(www.treasury.gov.za) and can be accessed by clicking on its link at the bottom of the home page.	Yes ☐	No ☐
4.1.1	If so, furnish particulars:		

4.2	Is the bidder or any of its directors listed on the Register for Tender Defaulters in terms of section 29 of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act (No 12 of 2004)? The Register for Tender Defaulters can be accessed on the National Treasury's website (www.treasury.gov.za) by clicking on its link at the bottom of the home page.	Yes ☐	No ☐
4.2.1	If so, furnish particulars:		
4.3	Was the bidder or any of its directors convicted by a court of law (including a court outside of the Republic of South Africa) for fraud or corruption during the past five years?	Yes ☐	No ☐
4.3.1	If so, furnish particulars:		
4.4	Was any contract between the bidder and any organ of state terminated during the past five years on account of failure to perform on or comply with the contract?	Yes ☐	No ☐
4.4.1	If so, furnish particulars:		

CERTIFICATION

I, THE UNDERSIGNED (FULL NAME).....

CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED ON THIS DECLARATION FORM IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT, IN ADDITION TO CANCELLATION OF A CONTRACT, ACTION MAY BE TAKEN AGAINST ME SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

.....

Signature

.....

Date

.....

Position

.....

Name of Bidder

28. CERTIFICATE OF INDEPENDENT BID DETERMINATION

- 1 This Standard Bidding Document (SBD) must form part of all bids¹ invited.
- 2 Section 4 (1) (b) (iii) of the Competition Act No. 89 of 1998, as amended, prohibits an agreement between, or concerted practice by, firms, or a decision by an association of firms, if it is between parties in a horizontal relationship and if it involves collusive bidding (or bid rigging).²
Collusive bidding is a *pe se* prohibition meaning that it cannot be justified under any grounds.
- 3 Treasury Regulation 16A9 prescribes that accounting officers and accounting authorities must take all reasonable steps to prevent abuse of the supply chain management system and authorizes accounting officers and accounting authorities to:
 - a. disregard the bid of any bidder if that bidder, or any of its directors have abused the institution's supply chain management system and or committed fraud or any other improper conduct in relation to such system.
 - b. cancel a contract awarded to a supplier of goods and services if the supplier committed any corrupt or fraudulent act during the bidding process or the execution of that contract.
- 4 This SBD serves as a certificate of declaration that would be used by institutions to ensure that, when bids are considered, reasonable steps are taken to prevent any form of bid-rigging.
- 5 In order to give effect to the above, the attached Certificate of Bid Determination (SBD 9) must be completed and submitted with the bid:

¹ Includes price quotations, advertised competitive bids, limited bids and proposals.

² Bid rigging (or collusive bidding) occurs when businesses, that would otherwise be expected to compete, secretly conspire to raise prices or lower the quality of goods and / or services for purchasers who wish to acquire goods and / or services through a bidding process. Bid rigging is, therefore, an agreement between competitors not to compete.

29. CERTIFICATE OF INDEPENDENT BID DETERMINATION

I, the undersigned, in submitting the accompanying bid:

BID NUMBER: MGS LG/2026/SEC58B-SSP01

TENDER NAME: SECTION 58B SCHOOL SUPPORT PROGRAMME

in response to the invitation for the bid made by:

MATTHEW GONIWE SCHOOL OF LEADERSHIP AND GOVERNANCE

do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:

I certify, on behalf of: _____ that:

(Name of Bidder)

1. I have read and I understand the contents of this Certificate;
2. I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this Certificate is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
3. I am authorized by the bidder to sign this Certificate, and to submit the accompanying bid, on behalf of the bidder;
4. Each person whose signature appears on the accompanying bid has been authorized by the bidder to determine the terms of, and to sign the bid, on behalf of the bidder;
5. For the purposes of this Certificate and the accompanying bid, I understand that the word "competitor" shall include any individual or organization, other than the bidder, whether or not affiliated with the bidder, who:
 - (a) has been requested to submit a bid in response to this bid invitation;
 - (b) could potentially submit a bid in response to this bid invitation, based on their qualifications, abilities or experience; and
 - (c) provides the same goods and services as the bidder and/or is in the same line of business as the bidder
6. The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium³ will not be construed as collusive bidding.
7. In particular, without limiting the generality of paragraphs 6 above, there has been no consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor regarding:
 - (a) prices;

- (b) geographical area where product or service will be rendered (market allocation) (c) methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices;
- (d) the intention or decision to submit or not to submit, a bid;
- (e) the submission of a bid which does not meet the specifications and conditions of the bid; or
- (f) bidding with the intention not to win the bid.
8. In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
9. The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
10. I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

.....
Signature

.....
Date

.....
Position

.....
Name of Bidder

³ Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

30. ANNEXURE A TENDER REFERENCE REQUEST FORM

Please may we request that this reference is completed and returned electronically to procurement@mgsi.co.za.

TENDER NAME: SECTION 58B SCHOOL SUPPORT PROGRAMME

TENDER NUMBER:MGSLG/2026/SEC58B-SSP01

Reference in respect of:

Details of the person completing the reference:

NAME : _____

JOB TITLE : _____

COMPANY : _____

TELEPHONE NUMBER : _____

EMAIL ADDRESS : _____

Please provide a description of services/products/works successfully delivered /completed by this organisation for your business.

Date period	Value (R)	Description of services/products/works delivered/provided

ANNEXURE A continued.

Reference Questions

Please answer all of the following questions using the scoring method detailed below:

- 4 = Excellent
- 3 = Good
- 2 = Satisfactory
- 0 = Unsatisfactory

Question No	Question	Satisfaction Score
1	How do you rate the quality of the services delivered by this organisation?	
2	How well does/did this organisation manage the delivery of the services?	
3	For those involved in the delivery of services, how do you rate the organisation's staff in terms of competency and experience?	
4	How well does/did the organisation work in partnership with you and other stakeholders?	
5	How well the organisation does/did communicate with and involve service users and/or customers? (Did they provide regular updates over the course of the project)	
6	How effective and timely are/were they at responding to requests for information?	
7	How well do you consider the organisation met/meets the needs of your service users and/or customers? (Did they understand your business as a the client)	
8	How well does/did the organisation deliver the services to the agreed timescales?	
9	How well does/did the organisation deliver the services within the agreed budget?	
10	Would you recommend this organisation as a supplier to other organisations/businesses?	

Date:

Signature:

Company Stamp